



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/835,885	04/16/2001	Ari Uistola	872.7588USX	4677	
29683	7590 06/03/2005		EXAMINER		
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE			MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH		
	CT 06484-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2686		
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/835,885	5	UISTOLA			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
	•	Naghmeh	Mehrpour	2686			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDED AND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	l. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the statut d will apply and will ute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from action to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 i	December 20	<u>04</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	/ 	is action is no					
3)□		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 21-44 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from con	sideration.				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>21-44</u> is/are rejected.			•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			·			
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election re	quirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b)[objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		=	•			
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig)-(d) or (f).			
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documer			iam Nia			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri						
	application from the International Burea			su iii tiiis ivational Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	• • • •	ed.			
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Intonious S	(DTO 442)			
	≈ of References Cited (P,10-892) ≈ of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4)				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	8)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date		6)				

Application/Control Number: 09/835,885

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 21-44, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-22 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,229,996. This is a double patenting rejection.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naghmeh Mehrpour whose telephone number is 571-272-7913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-6:00.

Art Unit: 2686

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold be reached (571) 272-7905.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NM

May 26, 2005

MELODY MEHRPOUR PATENT EXAMINER